



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/428,808 | 10/28/1999 | WILLIAM S. LEE | NORT-0014-US | 5156 |
| 7590 10/04/2004 | | | EXAMINER | |
| DAN C HU TROP PRUNER HU & MILES PC 8554 KATY FREEWAY SUITE 100 | | | KANG, PAUL H | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2141 | - 2 |
| HOUSTON, T | X 77024 | | DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004 | , 5 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office A - 4 O | 09/428,808 | LEE, WILLIAM S. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Paul H Kang | 2141 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply | on appears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS by statute, cause the application to become ABANI | be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 6 from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or | n 23 March 2001. | | | | | |
| | This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for a | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice u | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction | ithdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Ex 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 October 1999</u> Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the ending the output of the | is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obje to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. correction is required if the drawing(s) | See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for | uments have been received. uments have been received in Appl e priority documents have been rec Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ication No ceived in this National Stage | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | _ | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9) | 4) Interview Sumi | mary (PTO-413) ail Date | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-9-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2. | | nal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/428,808

Art Unit: 2141

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-10, 13-15, and 17-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Gleason, US Pat. No. 5,966,663.

2. As to claim 1, Gleason teaches a method of parsing a message containing strings of characters, comprising:

defining a plurality of delimiters (Gleason, col. 7, lines 29-48);

setting a first pair of delimiters as first starting and closing indicators to turn off any delimiter occurring in a first string between the first starting and closing indicators (Gleason, col. 7, lines 29-48);

setting a second pair of delimiters as second starting and closing indicators to turn off any delimiter occurring in a second string between the second starting and closing indicators (Gleason, col. 7, lines 29-48 Nested delimiters); and

collecting characters in the first and second strings between respective first and second starting and closing indicators into respective tokens (Gleason, col. 7, lines 29-48).

Art Unit: 2141

- 3. As to claim 2, Gleason teaches setting at least another pair of delimiters as further starting and closing indicators (Gleason, col. 7, lines 29-48 and col. 23, line 58 col. 24, line 55; Nested delimiters).
- 4. As to claim 3, Gleason teaches setting the first and second pairs of delimiters include passing the pairs of delimiters as parameters in corresponding calls to a parser routine (Gleason, col. 23, line 58 col. 24, line 55).
- 5. As to claim 4, Gleason teaches setting at least another pair of delimiters as further starting and closing indicators and nesting the further starting and closing indicators between the first starting and closing indicators (Gleason, col. 23, line 58 col. 24, line 55).
- 6. As to claim 5, Gleason teaches proving the nested starting and closing indicators to turn off a delimiter character that is the same character as one of the first starting and closing indicators between the nested starting and closing indicators (Gleason, col. 7, lines 29-48 and col. 23, line 58 col. 24, line 55; In parsing a message, any character representing a nested delimiter is ignored).
- 7. As to claim 6, Gleason teaches a method of parsing a message including a string of characters, comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/428,808

Art Unit: 2141

3

setting a first pair of constraints to turn of any delimiter occurring within the first pair of constraints (Gleason, col. 7, lines 29-48 and col. 23, line 58 – col. 24, line 55; In parsing a message, any character representing a nested delimiter is ignored);

setting a second pair of constraints nested between the first pair of constraints to turn off any delimiter occurring within the second pair of constraints (Gleason, col. 7, lines 29-48 and col. 23, line 58 – col. 24, line 55; multiple nesting); and

collecting a portion of the string of characters between the first pair of constraints (Gleason, col. 7, lines 29-48 and col. 23, line 58 – col. 24, line 55).

- 8. As to claim 7, Gleason teaches the second pair of constraints is set to turn off any delimiter character that is the same character as one of the first pair of constraints between the second pair of constraints (Gleason, col. 7, lines 29-48 and col. 23, line 58 col. 24, line 55; In parsing a message, any character representing a nested delimiter is ignored).
- 9. As to claim 8, Gleason teaches setting one or more pairs of constraints nested between the second pair of constraints (Gleason, col. 7, lines 29-48 and col. 23, line 58 col. 24, line 55).
- 10. As to claims 9, 13, 17, 20 and 21, Gleason teaches a system and an article including one or more machine-readable storage media capable of communicating messages over a network, comprising:

an interface unit adapted to receive a message including a plurality of characters from the network, some of the characters being delimiters (Gleason, col. 7, lines 1-48); and

Application/Control Number: 09/428,808 Page 5

Art Unit: 2141

a parser engine adapted to parse the message by defining a plurality of sets of starting constraints and closing constraints and extracting corresponding tokens including characters between corresponding sets of starting and closing constraints; the parser engine adapted to treat any delimiter between each set of starting and closing constraints as a nondelimiter (Gleason, col. 7, lines 29-48 and col. 23, line 58 – col. 24, line 55; In parsing a message, any character representing a nested delimiter is ignored).

11. As to claims 10, 14, 15, 18, 19 and 22, Gleason teaches the system and article wherein the parser engine includes a parser routine, the parser engine adapted to define a further set of starting and closing constraints nested between a first set of starting and closing constraints, wherein the parser routine is adapted to recursively call itself when the parser routine encounters a nested starting constraint (Gleason, col. 7, lines 29-48 and col. 23, line 58 – col. 24, line 55).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11, 12 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gleason as applied above, further in view of Handley, et al., SIP: Session Initiation Protocol, RFC 2543.

Application/Control Number: 09/428,808 Page 6

As to claims 11, 12 and 16, Gleason teaches the invention substantially as claimed.

Art Unit: 2141

13.

However, Gleason does not explicitly teach system and article wherein the message is according

endeavor, Handley teaches SIP to enable network session information communication. It would

to a predetermined syntax according to a Session Initiation Protocol. In the same field of

have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

have incorporated the implementation of SIP into the system of Gleason for the purpose of

enabling maintenance of conferences and management of user communication sessions.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul H Kang whose telephone number is (703) 308-6123. After October 26, 2004, all calls should be placed to (571) 272-3882. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 hour flex. First Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on (703) 305-4003. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PAUL H. KANG PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER